

United States Patent and Trademark Office

niv

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/476,633 | 12/31/1999 | LI-SHUN WANG | 042390.P7832 | 8091 |
| 7590 11/05/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD | | | GARCIA, JOANNIE A | |
| 7TH FLOOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 | | | 2823 | |
| | | DATE MAILED- 11050000 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 045 | | 09/476,633 | WANG ET AL | | | | |
| UTIC | Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Joannie A García | 2823 | | | | |
| renou for kepty | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr ss Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se | September 2003 . | | | | | |
| - | | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | <u>1-4 and 6-28</u> is/are pending in the app | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the | above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) _ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> - | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-28</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) _ | is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specific | cation is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | ed drawing correction filed oni | | ved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | d, corrected drawings are required in reply | | | | | | |
| | declaration is objected to by the Exar | miner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| | gment is made of a claim for foreign p | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | fied copies of the priority documents I | | | | | | |
| | fied copies of the priority documents t | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References 2) Notice of Draftsperso 3) Information Disclosur | s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tre Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/476,633

Art Unit: 2823

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, and 6-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, 28, the recitation at the beginning of line 5 is contradictory to the recitation at the end of line 5 through line 7, because the rinsing step is required to be done both, after and during polishing.

In claim 18, the recitation at the beginning of line 3 is contradictory to the recitation at the end of line 3 through line 4 because the rinsing step is required to be done both, after and during polishing.

In claim 23, the recitation at the beginning of line 5 is contradictory to the recitation at the end of line 5 through line 7, because the rinsing step is required to be done both, after and during polishing.

In claim 25, the recitation at the beginning of line 3 is contradictory to the recitation at the end of line 3 through line 4, because the rinsing step is required to be done both, after and during polishing.

In claim 26, the recitation at the beginning of line 5 is contradictory to the recitation at the end of line 5 through line 7 because the rinsing step is required to be done both, after and during polishing.

In claim 28, the recitation at the beginning of line 3 is contradictory to the recitation at the end of line 3 through line 5 because the rinsing step is required to be done both, after and during polishing.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-4, and 6-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because due to the contradictory

recitations stated above, the claims recite a process that cannot be performed.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner J. Garcia whose telephone number is (703) 306-5733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-7722 (and 7724), and (703) 305-3431 (and 3432). MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

JAG 10/28/03

PRIMARY EXAMINER